



REVISTA INCLUSIONES

HOMENAJE A MAJA ZAWIERZENIEC

Revista de Humanidades y Ciencias Sociales

Volumen 7 . Número Especial

Abril / Junio

2020

ISSN 0719-4706

CUERPO DIRECTIVO

Directores

Dr. Juan Guillermo Mansilla Sepúlveda

Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile

Dr. Francisco Ganga Contreras

Universidad de Tarapacá, Chile

Subdirectores

Mg © Carolina Cabezas Cáceres

Universidad de Las Américas, Chile

Dr. Andrea Mutolo

Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Editor

Drdo. Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Editor Científico

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo

Pontificia Universidade Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Editor Brasil

Drdo. Maicon Herverton Lino Ferreira da Silva

Universidade da Pernambuco, Brasil

Editor Europa del Este

Dr. Aleksandar Ivanov Katrandzhiev

Universidad Suroeste "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria

Cuerpo Asistente

Traductora: Inglés

Lic. Pauline Corthorn Escudero

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Traductora: Portugués

Lic. Elaine Cristina Pereira Menegón

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Portada

Lic. Graciela Pantigoso de Los Santos

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

COMITÉ EDITORIAL

Dra. Carolina Aroca Toloza

Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Jaime Bassa Mercado

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dra. Heloísa Bellotto

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Nidia Burgos

Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina

Mg. María Eugenia Campos

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Francisco José Francisco Carrera

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Mg. Keri González

Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Dr. Pablo Guadarrama González

Universidad Central de Las Villas, Cuba

Mg. Amelia Herrera Lavanchy

Universidad de La Serena, Chile

Mg. Cecilia Jofré Muñoz

Universidad San Sebastián, Chile

Mg. Mario Lagomarsino Montoya

Universidad Adventista de Chile, Chile

Dr. Claudio Llanos Reyes

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Werner Mackenbach

Universidad de Potsdam, Alemania

Universidad de Costa Rica, Costa Rica

Mg. Rocío del Pilar Martínez Marín

Universidad de Santander, Colombia

Ph. D. Natalia Milanesio

Universidad de Houston, Estados Unidos

Dra. Patricia Virginia Moggia Münchmeyer

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Ph. D. Maritza Montero

Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela

Dra. Eleonora Pencheva

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Rosa María Regueiro Ferreira

Universidad de La Coruña, España

Mg. David Ruete Zúñiga

Universidad Nacional Andrés Bello, Chile

Dr. Andrés Saavedra Barahona

Universidad San Clemente de Ojrid de Sofía, Bulgaria

Dr. Efraín Sánchez Cabra
Academia Colombiana de Historia, Colombia

Dra. Mirka Seitz
Universidad del Salvador, Argentina

Ph. D. Stefan Todorov Kapralov
South West University, Bulgaria

COMITÉ CIENTÍFICO INTERNACIONAL

Comité Científico Internacional de Honor

Dr. Adolfo A. Abadía
Universidad ICESI, Colombia

Dr. Carlos Antonio Aguirre Rojas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Martino Contu
Universidad de Sassari, Italia

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Patricia Brogna
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Horacio Capel Sáez
Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Javier Carreón Guillén
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Lancelot Cowie
Universidad West Indies, Trinidad y Tobago

Dra. Isabel Cruz Ovalle de Amenabar
Universidad de Los Andes, Chile

Dr. Rodolfo Cruz Vadillo
Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla, México

Dr. Adolfo Omar Cueto
Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina

Dr. Miguel Ángel de Marco
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Emma de Ramón Acevedo
Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Gerardo Echeita Sarrionandia
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, España

Dr. Antonio Hermosa Andújar
Universidad de Sevilla, España

Dra. Patricia Galeana
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dra. Manuela Garau
Centro Studi Sea, Italia

Dr. Carlo Ginzburg Ginzburg
Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa, Italia
Universidad de California Los Ángeles, Estados Unidos

Dr. Francisco Luis Girardo Gutiérrez
Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano, Colombia

José Manuel González Freire
Universidad de Colima, México

Dra. Antonia Heredia Herrera
Universidad Internacional de Andalucía, España

Dr. Eduardo Gomes Onofre
Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Brasil

Dr. Miguel León-Portilla
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Miguel Ángel Mateo Saura
Instituto de Estudios Albacetenses "Don Juan Manuel", España

Dr. Carlos Tulio da Silva Medeiros
Diálogos em MERCOSUR, Brasil

+ Dr. Álvaro Márquez-Fernández
Universidad del Zulia, Venezuela

Dr. Oscar Ortega Arango
Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México

Dr. Antonio-Carlos Pereira Menaut
Universidad Santiago de Compostela, España

Dr. José Sergio Puig Espinosa
Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dra. Francesca Randazzo
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Honduras

Dra. Yolando Ricardo

Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

Dr. Manuel Alves da Rocha

Universidade Católica de Angola Angola

Mg. Arnaldo Rodríguez Espinoza

Universidad Estatal a Distancia, Costa Rica

Dr. Miguel Rojas Mix

*Coordinador la Cumbre de Rectores Universidades
Estatales América Latina y el Caribe*

Dr. Luis Alberto Romero

CONICET / Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Maura de la Caridad Salabarría Roig

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dr. Adalberto Santana Hernández

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Juan Antonio Seda

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dr. Saulo Cesar Paulino e Silva

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dr. Miguel Ángel Verdugo Alonso

Universidad de Salamanca, España

Dr. Josep Vives Rego

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Eugenio Raúl Zaffaroni

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Blanca Estela Zardel Jacobo

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Comité Científico Internacional

Mg. Paola Aceituno

Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile

Ph. D. María José Aguilar Idañez

Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, España

Dra. Elian Araujo

Universidad de Mackenzie, Brasil

Mg. Rumyana Atanasova Popova

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Ana Bénard da Costa

Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Portugal

Centro de Estudos Africanos, Portugal

Dra. Alina Bestard Revilla

*Universidad de Ciencias de la Cultura Física y el
Deporte, Cuba*

Dra. Noemí Brenta

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Ph. D. Juan R. Coca

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Dr. Antonio Colomer Vialdel

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, España

Dr. Christian Daniel Cwik

Universidad de Colonia, Alemania

Dr. Eric de Léséulec

INS HEA, Francia

Dr. Andrés Di Masso Tarditti

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Ph. D. Mauricio Dimant

Universidad Hebrea de Jerusalén, Israel

Dr. Jorge Enrique Elías Caro

Universidad de Magdalena, Colombia

Dra. Claudia Lorena Fonseca

Universidad Federal de Pelotas, Brasil

Dra. Ada Gallegos Ruiz Conejo

Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Perú

Dra. Carmen González y González de Mesa

Universidad de Oviedo, España

Ph. D. Valentin Kitanov

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Mg. Luis Oporto Ordóñez

Universidad Mayor San Andrés, Bolivia

Dr. Patricio Quiroga

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Gino Ríos Patio

Universidad de San Martín de Porres, Perú

**REVISTA
INCLUSIONES**
REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

Dr. Carlos Manuel Rodríguez Arrechavaleta
Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. Vivian Romeu
Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. María Laura Salinas
Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Argentina

Dr. Stefano Santasilia
Universidad della Calabria, Italia

Mg. Silvia Laura Vargas López
Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, México

**CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA
EDITORIAL**

Dra. Jaqueline Vassallo
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina

Dr. Evandro Viera Ouriques
Universidad Federal de Río de Janeiro, Brasil

Dra. María Luisa Zagalaz Sánchez
Universidad de Jaén, España

Dra. Maja Zawierzeniec
Universidad Wszechnica Polska, Polonia

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía
Santiago – Chile
Representante Legal
Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda Editorial

Indización, Repositorios y Bases de Datos Académicas

Revista Inclusiones, se encuentra indizada en:





REX



UNIVERSITY OF
SASKATCHEWAN



Universidad
de Concepción

BIBLIOTECA UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN



**ALTERNATIVE CONSIDERATION OF DISPUTES AS AN INDICATOR
OF SOCIAL DEMOCRATIZATION**

Dr. (C) Maria Alexandrovna Volkova

Russian State Social University (RSSU), Russian Federation
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-5928-6929
mvolkova2013@bk.ru

Dr. (C) Natalya Aleksandrovna Savtsova

Russian State Social University (RSSU), Russian Federation
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2239-902X
SavtsovaNA@rgsu.net

Dr. (C) Renata Romanovna Lenkovskaya

Russian State Social University (RSSU), Russian Federation
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-9309-3602
pravo1000@mail.ru

Dr. (C) Alla Andreevna Neznamova

Russian State Social University (RSSU), Russian Federation
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7534-6327
NeznamovaAA@rgsu.net

Lic. Tatiana Sergeevna Nesterova

Russian State Social University (RSSU), Russian Federation
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4152-798X
nesterowa.tatiana2011@yandex.ru

Fecha de Recepción: 03 de enero de 2020 – **Fecha Revisión:** 14 de enero de 2020

Fecha de Aceptación: 08 de marzo de 2020 – **Fecha de Publicación:** 01 de abril de 2020

Abstract

The purpose of this article is to consider the role of alternative consideration of disputes as an indicator of social democratization. This study is carried out using historical and comparative-legal methods. This article examines the importance of conciliation in civil and arbitration proceedings for society. The authors briefly examine the history of the emergence of alternative ways to dispute resolution, as well as the views of representatives of the scientific community on the essence of conciliation and its role in the judicial process. As a result, it is concluded that at present, out-of-court dispute resolution is a legal means of implementing and simplifying justice. The authors conclude that it is necessary to distinguish between the concepts of out-of-court and pre-court methods of dispute resolution. It is also concluded that Russian law is moving towards expanding the scope of alternative dispute resolution. The Russian practice of applying alternative procedures gradually includes other technologies of peaceful dispute resolution that are known in foreign countries, such as facilitation, communication procedure, etc. As a result, this direction of legislation development and its application should contribute to the strengthening of civil society.

Keywords

Arbitration court – Claim procedure – Out-of-court dispute resolution

Para Citar este Artículo:

Volkova, Maria Alexandrovna; Savtsova, Natalya Aleksandrovna; Lenkovskaya, Renata Romanovna; Neznamova, Alla Andreevna y Nesterova, Tatiana Sergeevna. Alternative consideration of disputes as an indicator of social democratization. Revista Inclusiones Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 423-431.

Licencia Creative Commons Attribution Non-Comercial 3.0 Unported
(CC BY-NC 3.0)

Licencia Internacional



Introduction

More and more attention has been paid by academic experts to alternative forms of dispute resolution in recent years, including out-of-court dispute resolution¹.

The priority direction of the EU policy is the development of alternative ways to resolve legal conflicts. In fact, the appeal to such forms as a settlement agreement, judicial mediation and negotiation is positively perceived by the majority of subjects and the public, operating in the market. The undoubted advantages of conciliation include legality, as well as increasing the efficiency of resolving economic disputes, maintaining partnerships and minimizing costs for the entire process. The result is important here as well, in which both parties win to one degree or another.

As noted in the Resolution of the VI All-Russian Congress of Judges, the possibility of resorting to alternative methods of dispute resolution is a guarantee of the effectiveness of protecting rights². The participants of civil circulation should have a choice of any convenient procedure that meets their requirements related to confidentiality, time, cost, imperativeness and consequences of the decision. According to I. Tsvetkov, who is one of the representatives of the Russian judicature, "providing such opportunities is more important in the current conditions since there is practically no capable system for alternative resolution of economic disagreements and conflicts in our country, acting on the basis of conciliation, arbitration, mediation and other legal procedures"³.

Swiss experts compare legal disputes with an iceberg, consisting of a visible part – the top, including positions of the parties and legislation – and also an invisible part submerged underwater, to which scientists refer emotions, feelings, needs and misunderstandings. Thus, the use of the information related to the top of the iceberg alone is not possible for the effective dispute resolution⁴.

The emergence of alternative conflict resolution procedures has helped to partially reveal the underwater part of the iceberg. As several authors indicate, alternative methods of resolving disputes (in particular, mediation) as a democratic method of resolving a conflict of private law character⁵ can be used to resolve disputes between citizens or legal entities (or both)⁶. In addition, international law allows for the extension of alternative

¹ M. A. Volkova; A. L. Shilovskaya; P. V. Zhesterov & M. M. Turkin, "Mediation in Private and Public Law", *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, Vol: 9 num1 (2019): 3888-3892.

² Resolution of the VI All-Russian Congress of Judges. *Bulletin of the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation* (2011): 5-17.

³ I. Tsvetkov, *Preddogovornnye spory v arbitrazhnom protsesse. Arbitrazhnyi i grazhdanskii kodeks* (Moscow, 2006).

⁴ N. I. Gaidaenko Schaer, *Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms as a tool for creating an enabling environment for business (the experience of Russia and foreign countries): monograph*. (Moscow: INFRA-M, 2016).

⁵ L. B. Sitdikova; A. L. Shilovskaya & I. N. Nadin, "Demokratizatsiya grazhdansko-protsessualnogo zakonodatelstva, putem aktivizatsii primiritelnykh protsedur. Biznes v zakone", *Ekonomiko-yuridicheskii zhurnal* num 3 (2016): 84–87.

⁶ A. L. Shilovskaya; S. J. Starodumova; M. A. Volkova & P. V. Zhesterov, "The judicial practice of the European Court in the sphere of non-material reputational harm", *Man in India*, Vol: 96 num 12 (2016): 5635–5645.

dispute resolution techniques to public legal relations. In particular, the United Nations recognizes that mediation and alternative dispute resolution in meetings of offenders, victims and community members aimed to resolve issues subject to criminal investigation can change the outcome of a case that might otherwise lead to imprisonment, both before trial and after conviction⁷. UN experts believe that the police and prosecutors should take the initiative in conducting mediation aimed at withdrawing suspects from the criminal justice system⁷

However, it is important to emphasize that mediation between the victim and the accused differs significantly from mediation in civil cases since the responsibility for the crime is pre-established. The main condition for mediation between the victim and the offender is the fact that the offender accepts such responsibility⁸.

Methods

The main method used in the study was the historical method, which allowed tracing the history of alternative dispute resolution and identifying several stages in them. We also used the comparative-legal method to analyze the existing procedures for alternative dispute resolution and concluded that they have common patterns.

Results

Analyzing the existing views on alternative dispute resolution, it can be stated that the concepts of out-of-court and pre-court methods of dispute resolution are used by scholars as synonymous and interchangeable in the theory of alternative dispute resolution. It seems to us more correct to separate these concepts. Out-of-court procedures involve the possibility of a full settlement of the conflict without the participation of the court (mediation, settlement agreement). Pre-court procedures are only a certain stage in dispute resolution, preceding the appeal to the court (claim settlement).

In practice, the list of alternative dispute resolution procedures has significantly expanded. Russian citizens currently know several types of out-of-court settlements, which include negotiation and mediation. Usually, the claim procedure for resolving entrepreneurial disputes is also referred to as an alternative dispute resolution method and treated as an out-of-court (pre-court) alternative legal means.

According to the results of the study, in modern conditions of the development of law and economy, out-of-court dispute resolution is regarded as a legal means of implementing programs of simplification and accessibility of justice. At the same time, there is a tendency in Russian law to liberalize and expand the scope of alternative procedures. In particular, their application to administrative relations. In this regard, the current legislation perceives the trends that existed in ancient Russian law. The article assumes the possibility of including in the Russian practice such institutions as facilitation,

⁷ Handbook of basic principles and promising practices on Alternatives to Imprisonment. Criminal justice handbook series. United Nations (New York: United Nations, 2007). Available at: https://www.unodc.org/pdf/criminal_justice/Handbook_of_Basic_Principles_and_Promising_Practices_on_Alternatives_to_Imprisonment.pdf

⁸ Restorative justice in prisons: methods, approaches, and effectiveness. 2019. <https://rm.coe.int/16806f9905>

increasing the effectiveness of group work, as well as various kinds of communication technologies aimed to establish the desired direct or indirect contact with an opponent, negotiation and mediation technologies, etc.

Discussion

Out-of-court procedures, having a long history in Russian law, were significantly minimized for a long time in the Soviet Union. The whole process of the development of the institute of conciliation in pre-revolutionary Russia can be divided into two stages.

The first stage covered the period from ancient times to the judicial reform of 1864. Gradually, the rules of dispute resolution were formed as a result of repeated use of similar situations, on the basis of conciliation and treaties of peace, which became the core of customary law. Moreover, these rules found their consolidation in other sources of Russian law (contracts, acts, letters, statutes).

In the 18th-19th centuries, conciliation was legislated in the form of provincial courts created of conscience by the Decree of Empress Catherine II on November 7, 1775. According to the norms of Chapter 26 of the Decree "On the court of conscience and its justiceship", courts of conscience were an element of the system of institutions of the Russian Empire for the administration of provinces, which considered civil cases in the order of conciliation and some criminal cases (committed by the juvenile or insane), as well as disputes between parents and children.

The relations of conciliation, initially regulated by custom, were smoothly reflected and developed in the normative legal acts of the period of centralization and class-representative rule, the era of absolutism.

The second half of the 19th – beginning of the 20th century is the second stage in the development of conciliation in pre-revolutionary Russia. The legislation paid great attention to this institution, trying to regulate public relations arising during conciliation in more detail. The legal science of this period formed a certain system of views on conciliation.

The Charter of Civil Procedure of 1864, adopted in the course of judicial reform, contained a whole chapter "On conciliation proceedings". According to the Charter, disputing parties could terminate the process by mutual agreement. The agreement between the parties on the termination of the case could also take the form of a settlement transaction, the conclusion of which was allowed in every situation of the case. Art. 1366 of the Charter explicitly specifies that a case terminated by voluntary settlement shall be considered permanently completed and shall not be renewed. It should be noted that the scope of non-judicial procedures narrowed – they became applicable only to civil law disputes.

The stage of the rapid development of entrepreneurship in post-revolutionary Russia ended with the advent of Soviet power. Having eliminated the system of commercial litigation focused on the application of conciliation, the Soviet government, at the same time, abolished all democratic principles of substantive and procedural law. The principle of dispositiveness and the settlement agreement began to be applied with great restrictions.

There were no rules directly regulating the settlement agreement in the first Code of the Civil Procedure of the Russian Soviet Federative Socialist Republic (RSFSR) of 1923. Despite this, parties could reconcile in the course of the trial. This right was derived from Art. 18 of the Code. The court could take into account the settlement agreement when making a decision, along with other circumstances of the case. In any case, all out-of-court settlement agreements were subject to confirmation in court. When approving the settlement agreement, the court was obliged to protect the interests of the weakest party.

The possibilities for applying the settlement agreement were significantly expanded with the adoption of the Code of Civil Procedure of the RSFSR of 1964. The institution of the settlement agreement was regulated in it in more detail (Art. 34, 143, 164, 219, 293, 364). For the first time, according to paragraph 5 of Art. 129 of the Code, the approval of a settlement agreement by the court became an independent basis for terminating the proceedings. It should be emphasized that the claim procedure before applying to arbitration was mandatory at that time. This mandatory procedure for the pre-arbitration settlement of economic disputes lasted until 1995.

As amended by the Code of Civil Procedure of the RSFSR of 1995, parties could conclude the settlement agreement at the stage of preparing the case for trial (Art. 143), enforcement proceedings (Art. 364), as well as in the cassation instance (Art. 293).

The settlement agreement in a civil proceeding meant a procedural action agreed upon by the parties, which consisted in submitting a contract on the terms of dispute resolution to the court for approval, that is, mutual concessions were not a necessary condition of the settlement agreement. The right to enter into such contracts arose from civil, labor, family, kolkhoz and other legal relations. They were vested with both disputing parties themselves and third parties who had the right to declare independent claims in the process. A settlement agreement could also be concluded in a friendly or arbitration court, as well as state arbitration.

The current Arbitration Procedure Code of the Russian Federation of 2002⁹ not only provides parties with ample opportunity to resolve the dispute but also consolidates conciliation as one of the main tasks of arbitration proceedings. The possibility of applying another alternative procedure – mediation – to civil, administrative and other public legal relations, including those related to the implementation of business and other economic activities, as well as disputes arising from labor and family relations, is provided by the Federal Law of July 27, 2010 No. 193-FL "On alternative dispute resolution with the participation of a mediator (mediation)". An extension of the scope of the law to disputes arising from public relations can be considered a revolutionary breakthrough. In fact, this possibility appeared in Russian law for the first time since *Russkaya Pravda* (Rus' Justice).

The discussion about the nature and role of alternative dispute resolution in the academic community develops in two directions: within the functioning judicial system (in the public sphere) and outside of it (in the field of private legal regulation). E.N. Nosyreva, relying on this classification, proceeds from the advisability of dividing the alternative dispute resolution into private and public. She also argues that in this aspect, the term

⁹ The Code of Arbitration Procedure of the Russian Federation: Federal Law of July 24, 2002 No. 95-FL (as amended on April 6, 2015). Collected Legislation of the Russian Federation No. 30. Article 3012, 2002.

"public" indicates the legal affiliation of these procedures with the public state judicial system and is, to a certain extent, conditional¹⁰.

Previously, even considering the existence of a planned economy and the absence of private property, the extrajudicial (pre-court) procedure was aimed at freeing arbitration from consideration of uncontested cases. In the legal sources of the 1950s, it was considered that this work makes it possible to prevent the occurrence of economic disputes and the presentation of undisputed or unfounded claims to arbitration. R.F. Kallistratova claims that the obligatory preliminary appeal directly to the debtor with controversial issues is aimed at developing the initiative of economic bodies to eliminate conflicts between them without government intervention, which helped to strengthen cooperation and mutual understanding between economic bodies, quickly resolve disagreements that have arisen and accelerate the turnover of working capital at enterprises and organizations¹¹.

From the point of view of T.E. Abova, significantly more guarantees of actual performance are given by the adoption of necessary measures to restore the violated right, rather than resolving the conflict in a claim form¹².

The degree of effectiveness of the claim procedure is very high as it reduces the time for economic dispute resolution and the number of cases sent to the arbitration court and facilitates the preparation of cases by the court as the parties express their opinion and submit the necessary documents that they have.

According to the Chairman of the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation A.A. Ivanov, out-of-court settlement, contributing to the acceleration and cheapening of justice for both the state and the parties, is widely used to reduce the workload of judges¹³. In fact, out-of-court settlement meets the requirements of procedural law, reduces the time spent by the parties on the dispute and makes it possible to settle the dispute voluntarily without state intervention.

It is also possible to agree with the opinion of A. Kuzbagarov, who approves the conciliation of the parties without going to court and considers the benefits of an out-of-court consideration to develop the economic independence of the disputing parties, strengthen partnerships and avoid lengthy and costly judicial procedure¹⁴.

To a certain extent, a share of preventive work is inherent in any form of protection. The preventive function of the out-of-court (pre-court) settlement is to prevent the appearance of court cases, as well as provide educational impact on the subjects of economic turnover. According to V.F. Yakovlev, the pre-court settlement is the mildest and most civilized way to dispute resolution¹⁵.

¹⁰ E. I. Nosyreva, *Alternative Resolution of Civil Disputes* (Voronezh, 2001).

¹¹ R. F. Kallistratova, *Pretzionnyi poryadok razresheniya sporov* (Moscow: Gosyurzdats, 1963).

¹² T. E. Abova, *The arbitration process: concept, basic principles* (Moscow, 1985).

¹³ A. A. Ivanov, "A court decision must be treated with a fair amount of fatalism", *Sudya* num 2 (2012).

¹⁴ A. Kuzbagarov, *Prichiny vozniknoveniya yuridicheskogo konflikta i primireniya konfliktuyushchikh kak sposob ikh razresheniya. Arbitrazhnyi i grazhdanskii protsess* (Moscow, 2006).

¹⁵ V. F. Yakovlev, "Samyi tsivilizovannyi sposob razresheniya konfliktov", *Yuridicheskii mir* num 4 (2004): 17.

Conclusions

The changes that have taken place in the legislation reflect the trend towards the democratization of Russian society. Alternative dispute resolution is less formal, unlike judicial protection. In particular, this is indicated by the absence of strict requirements for the participants of the procedure, their qualifications and legal status, as well as mandatory requirements for the rules of conduct.

Based on this, it is possible to conclude that the dispositive method has now become predominant in Russia and is more suitable for the regulation of civil legal relations, while the imperative method is minimized.

Further legislative and practical improvement of alternatives to dispute resolution and settlement may lead to the expansion of the list of types of the out-of-court settlement, using historical and foreign experience. For example, it can be assumed that world-famous institutions will enter into Russian practice, for example, facilitation, increasing the effectiveness of group work (meetings, negotiations), various kinds of communication technologies aimed to establish the desired direct or indirect contact with an opponent, negotiation and mediation technologies, etc.

In the future, such democratization of conflict resolution may lead to increased activity of citizens to protect their violated interests. In general, this will help to strengthen civil society in the Russian Federation.

References

Abova, T. E. The arbitration process: concept, basic principles. Moscow. 1985.

Gaidaenko Schaer, N. I. Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms as a tool for creating an enabling environment for business (the experience of Russia and foreign countries), monograph. Moscow: INFRA-M. 2016.

Handbook of basic principles and promising practices on Alternatives to Imprisonment. Criminal justice handbook series. United Nations. New York: United Nations. 2007. Available at: https://www.unodc.org/pdf/criminal_justice/Handbook_of_Basic_Principles_and_Promising_Practices_on_Alternatives_to_Imprisonment.pdf

Ivanov, A. A. "A court decision must be treated with a fair amount of fatalism". Sudya num 2 (2012).

Kallistratova, R. F. Prezentionnyi poryadok razresheniya sporov. Moscow: Gosyurzdad. 1963.

Kuzbagarov, A. Prichiny vozniknoveniya yuridicheskogo konflikta i primireniya konfliktuyushchikh kak sposob ikh razresheniya. Arbitrazhnyi i grazhdanskii protsess. Moscow. 2006.

Nosyreva, E. I. Alternative Resolution of Civil Disputes. Voronezh. 2001.

Resolution of the VI All-Russian Congress of Judges. Bulletin of the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation (2011): 5-17.

Restorative justice in prisons: methods, approaches, and effectiveness. 2019. Available at: <https://rm.coe.int/16806f9905>

Shilovskaya, A. L.; Starodumova, S. J.; Volkova, M. A. & Zhesterov, P. V. "The judicial practice of the European Court in the sphere of non-material reputational harm". *Man in India*, Vol: 96 num 12 (2016): 5635–5645.

Sitdikova, L. B.; Shilovskaya, A. L. & Nadin, I. N. "Demokratizatsiya grazhdansko-protseessualnogo zakonodatelstva, putem aktivizatsii primiritelnykh protsedur". *Biznes v zakone. Ekonomiko-yuridicheskii zhurnal* num 3 (2016): 84–87.

The Code of Arbitration Procedure of the Russian Federation: Federal Law of July 24, 2002 No. 95-FL (as amended on April 6, 2015). *Collected Legislation of the Russian Federation* No. 30. Article 3012. 2002.

Tsvetkov, I. *Preddogovornye spory v arbitrazhnom protsesse. Arbitrazhnyi i grazhdanskii kodeks*. Moscow. 2006.

Volkova, M. A.; Shilovskaya, A. L.; Zhesterov, P. V. & Turkin, M. M. "Mediation in Private and Public Law". *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology* Vol: 9 num 1 (2019): 3888-3892.

Yakovlev, V. F. "Samyi tsivilizovannyi sposob razresheniya konfliktov". *Yuridicheskii mir* num 4 (2004).

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Las opiniones, análisis y conclusiones del autor son de su responsabilidad y no necesariamente reflejan el pensamiento de **Revista Inclusiones**.

La reproducción parcial y/o total de este artículo debe hacerse con permiso de **Revista Inclusiones**.

DR. (C) MARIA ALEXANDROVNA VOLKOVA / DR. (C) NATALYA ALEKSANDROVNA SAVTSOVA
DR. (C) RENATA ROMANOVNA LENKOVSKAYA / DR. (C) ALLA ANDREEVNA NEZNAMOVA
LIC. TATIANA SERGEEVNA NESTEROVA