



REVISTA INCLUSIONES

HOMENAJE A MAJA ZAWIERZENIEC

Revista de Humanidades y Ciencias Sociales

Volumen 7 . Número Especial

Abril / Junio

2020

ISSN 0719-4706

CUERPO DIRECTIVO

Directores

Dr. Juan Guillermo Mansilla Sepúlveda

Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile

Dr. Francisco Ganga Contreras

Universidad de Tarapacá, Chile

Subdirectores

Mg © Carolina Cabezas Cáceres

Universidad de Las Américas, Chile

Dr. Andrea Mutolo

Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Editor

Drdo. Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Editor Científico

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo

Pontificia Universidade Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Editor Brasil

Drdo. Maicon Herverton Lino Ferreira da Silva

Universidade da Pernambuco, Brasil

Editor Europa del Este

Dr. Aleksandar Ivanov Katrandzhiev

Universidad Suroeste "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria

Cuerpo Asistente

Traductora: Inglés

Lic. Pauline Corthorn Escudero

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Traductora: Portugués

Lic. Elaine Cristina Pereira Menegón

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Portada

Lic. Graciela Pantigoso de Los Santos

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

COMITÉ EDITORIAL

Dra. Carolina Aroca Toloza

Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Jaime Bassa Mercado

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dra. Heloísa Bellotto

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Nidia Burgos

Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina

Mg. María Eugenia Campos

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Francisco José Francisco Carrera

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Mg. Keri González

Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Dr. Pablo Guadarrama González

Universidad Central de Las Villas, Cuba

Mg. Amelia Herrera Lavanchy

Universidad de La Serena, Chile

Mg. Cecilia Jofré Muñoz

Universidad San Sebastián, Chile

Mg. Mario Lagomarsino Montoya

Universidad Adventista de Chile, Chile

Dr. Claudio Llanos Reyes

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Werner Mackenbach

Universidad de Potsdam, Alemania

Universidad de Costa Rica, Costa Rica

Mg. Rocío del Pilar Martínez Marín

Universidad de Santander, Colombia

Ph. D. Natalia Milanesio

Universidad de Houston, Estados Unidos

Dra. Patricia Virginia Moggia Münchmeyer

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Ph. D. Maritza Montero

Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela

Dra. Eleonora Pencheva

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Rosa María Regueiro Ferreira

Universidad de La Coruña, España

Mg. David Ruete Zúñiga

Universidad Nacional Andrés Bello, Chile

Dr. Andrés Saavedra Barahona

Universidad San Clemente de Ojrid de Sofía, Bulgaria

Dr. Efraín Sánchez Cabra
Academia Colombiana de Historia, Colombia

Dra. Mirka Seitz
Universidad del Salvador, Argentina

Ph. D. Stefan Todorov Kapralov
South West University, Bulgaria

COMITÉ CIENTÍFICO INTERNACIONAL

Comité Científico Internacional de Honor

Dr. Adolfo A. Abadía
Universidad ICESI, Colombia

Dr. Carlos Antonio Aguirre Rojas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Martino Contu
Universidad de Sassari, Italia

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Patricia Brogna
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Horacio Capel Sáez
Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Javier Carreón Guillén
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Lancelot Cowie
Universidad West Indies, Trinidad y Tobago

Dra. Isabel Cruz Ovalle de Amenabar
Universidad de Los Andes, Chile

Dr. Rodolfo Cruz Vadillo
Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla, México

Dr. Adolfo Omar Cueto
Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina

Dr. Miguel Ángel de Marco
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Emma de Ramón Acevedo
Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Gerardo Echeita Sarrionandia
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, España

Dr. Antonio Hermosa Andújar
Universidad de Sevilla, España

Dra. Patricia Galeana
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dra. Manuela Garau
Centro Studi Sea, Italia

Dr. Carlo Ginzburg Ginzburg
Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa, Italia
Universidad de California Los Ángeles, Estados Unidos

Dr. Francisco Luis Girardo Gutiérrez
Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano, Colombia

José Manuel González Freire
Universidad de Colima, México

Dra. Antonia Heredia Herrera
Universidad Internacional de Andalucía, España

Dr. Eduardo Gomes Onofre
Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Brasil

Dr. Miguel León-Portilla
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Miguel Ángel Mateo Saura
Instituto de Estudios Albacetenses "Don Juan Manuel", España

Dr. Carlos Tulio da Silva Medeiros
Diálogos em MERCOSUR, Brasil

+ Dr. Álvaro Márquez-Fernández
Universidad del Zulia, Venezuela

Dr. Oscar Ortega Arango
Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México

Dr. Antonio-Carlos Pereira Menaut
Universidad Santiago de Compostela, España

Dr. José Sergio Puig Espinosa
Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dra. Francesca Randazzo
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Honduras

REVISTA INCLUSIONES

REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

Dra. Yolando Ricardo

Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

Dr. Manuel Alves da Rocha

Universidade Católica de Angola Angola

Mg. Arnaldo Rodríguez Espinoza

Universidad Estatal a Distancia, Costa Rica

Dr. Miguel Rojas Mix

*Coordinador la Cumbre de Rectores Universidades
Estatales América Latina y el Caribe*

Dr. Luis Alberto Romero

CONICET / Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Maura de la Caridad Salabarría Roig

Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dr. Adalberto Santana Hernández

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Juan Antonio Seda

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dr. Saulo Cesar Paulino e Silva

Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dr. Miguel Ángel Verdugo Alonso

Universidad de Salamanca, España

Dr. Josep Vives Rego

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Eugenio Raúl Zaffaroni

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Blanca Estela Zardel Jacobo

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Comité Científico Internacional

Mg. Paola Aceituno

Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile

Ph. D. María José Aguilar Idañez

Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, España

Dra. Elian Araujo

Universidad de Mackenzie, Brasil

Mg. Rumyana Atanasova Popova

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Dra. Ana Bénard da Costa

*Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Portugal
Centro de Estudios Africanos, Portugal*

Dra. Alina Bestard Revilla

*Universidad de Ciencias de la Cultura Física y el
Deporte, Cuba*

Dra. Noemí Brenta

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Ph. D. Juan R. Coca

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Dr. Antonio Colomer Vialdel

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, España

Dr. Christian Daniel Cwik

Universidad de Colonia, Alemania

Dr. Eric de Léséulec

INS HEA, Francia

Dr. Andrés Di Masso Tarditti

Universidad de Barcelona, España

Ph. D. Mauricio Dimant

Universidad Hebrea de Jerusalén, Israel

Dr. Jorge Enrique Elías Caro

Universidad de Magdalena, Colombia

Dra. Claudia Lorena Fonseca

Universidad Federal de Pelotas, Brasil

Dra. Ada Gallegos Ruiz Conejo

Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Perú

Dra. Carmen González y González de Mesa

Universidad de Oviedo, España

Ph. D. Valentin Kitanov

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Mg. Luis Oporto Ordóñez

Universidad Mayor San Andrés, Bolivia

Dr. Patricio Quiroga

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Gino Ríos Patio

Universidad de San Martín de Porres, Perú

**REVISTA
INCLUSIONES**
REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

Dr. Carlos Manuel Rodríguez Arrechavaleta
Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. Vivian Romeu
Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. María Laura Salinas
Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Argentina

Dr. Stefano Santasilia
Universidad della Calabria, Italia

Mg. Silvia Laura Vargas López
Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, México

**CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA
EDITORIAL**

Dra. Jaqueline Vassallo
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina

Dr. Evandro Viera Ouriques
Universidad Federal de Río de Janeiro, Brasil

Dra. María Luisa Zagalaz Sánchez
Universidad de Jaén, España

Dra. Maja Zawierzeniec
Universidad Wszechnica Polska, Polonia

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía
Santiago – Chile
Representante Legal
Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda Editorial

Indización, Repositorios y Bases de Datos Académicas

Revista Inclusiones, se encuentra indizada en:





REX



UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN



Universidad de Concepción



BIBLIOTECA UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN

CRITERION ANALYSIS OF CLOUD-BASED TOOLS IN DATABASE BASICS TRAINING

Ph. D. (C) Tatyana Ilinichna Golubeva

Russian State Social University, Russia

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-1916-2328

Paloma60@mail.ru

Ph. D. Natalia V. Linder

Financial University under the Government of the Russian Federation, Russia

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4724-2344

NVLinder@fa.ru

Ph. D. (C) Kobilzhon Khodzhevich Zoidov

Market Economy Institute of RAS (MEI RAS), Russia

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8474-0895

zaidov@jpr-ras.ru

Ph. D. Vladislav Vladimirovich Petrov

Market Economy Institute of RAS (MEI RAS), Russia

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2744-6726

vladislav.v.petrov@mail.ru

Ph. D. (C) Denis Alekseevich Maximov

Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, Russia

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-8316-8359

maxdenalex@mail.ru

Ph. D. Umalt Umarovich Abdulkadirov

Chechen State University, Russia

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0855-3577

Akhyadov1990@mail.ru

Fecha de Recepción: 05 de enero de 2020 – **Fecha Revisión:** 29 de febrero de 2020

Fecha de Aceptación: 15 de marzo de 2020 – **Fecha de Publicación:** 01 de abril de 2020

Abstract

The formation and development of an educational environment on the basis of cloud computing technology is an urgent area in the modern renewal of higher education. It is associated with the spread of more convenient systems for organizing access to electronic resources and services, the possibilities of teamwork with software applications. The article presents an analysis of studies on various aspects of the use of cloud-based tools in the educational process. Based on the results of the expert survey, the ranking and selection of the most acceptable cloud-based training tools for teaching the database basics were carried out. The study defines the criteria and indicators for the selection of cloud-based tools, on the basis of which a criteria-based analysis of cloud-based tools was carried out when teaching the basics of databases. It is concluded that the introduction of cloud-based tools into the educational process of teaching the basics of databases is necessary on the basis of compliance with the functional and didactic and organizational criteria.

Keywords

Cloud technologies – Foggy technologies – Cloud-based tools – Databases

Para Citar este Artículo:

Golubeva, Tatyana Ilichna; Linder, Natalia V.; Zoidov, Kobilzhon Khodzhievich; Pterov, Vladislav Vladimirovich; Maximov, Denis Alekseevich y Abdulkadirov, Umalt Umarovich. Criterion analysis of cloud-based tools in database basics training. Revista Inclusiones Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 520-534.

Licencia Creative Commons Attribution Non-Comercial 3.0 Unported
(CC BY-NC 3.0)
Licencia Internacional



Introduction

The process of training future specialists at higher education institutions provides for the mandatory study of databases in a separate discipline¹. In database training, the teacher focuses on the peculiarities of database technologies so that students understand the main trends of modern database development, advantages and disadvantages of using databases, specifics of working in database management systems (DBMSs), etc.²

The acquired knowledge, skills and abilities to work with databases are necessary for future specialists in their professional activities³. To increase the effectiveness of the educational process in teaching databases and improving the professional training of future specialists, university teachers need to use the latest teaching aids, including cloud-based ones⁴.

Cloud technologies are Internet technologies that provide a way to process data through online services and provide for the implementation of basic functions through data centers⁵. In other words, these are technologies that constantly store the user's information content on Internet servers; the information is only temporarily cached (stored) by the user on stationary computer systems⁶.

Today, "foggy" technologies stand out within the framework of cloud technologies – these are cloud technologies that are characterized by the distribution of computing among devices belonging to the Internet of Things (in particular, smartphones that almost everyone uses today have processors that are not actively used). When using cloud technologies, secondary data are created from shared resources and always transmitted to the "cloud" (to a remote server)⁷. This is characteristic of the well-known cloud services YouTube (a video hosting company that provides users with services for storing, searching and displaying video materials), Office365 (a cloud Internet service and Microsoft software distributed according to the "software + services" scheme), etc.

¹ I. G. Doronkina; E. E. Krasnovskiy; E. V. Zakharova; P. V. Ulianishchev y L. V. Ulyanishcheva, "Academic Cloud Services: Innovative Solutions and International Practice", *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems*, Vol: 11 num Especial (2019): 65-72 y T. N. Yudina; M. G. Kotovskaya; M. V. Zolotukhina y D. K. Tananova, "I Identify Myself as Russian Although I Have Never Been to Russia": Ways of Transferring Identity and Language to Children among the Russian-Speaking Population of the USA", *Rupkatha Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities*, Vol: 11 num 2 (2019).

² A. M. Popova; V. V. Mironov y N. I. Romanchuk, "Visual Programming Environment Scratch in The Study of the School Subject "Fundamentals of Life Safety", *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*, Vol: 8 num 6 (2019): 3488-3491 y M. S. Logachev y G. S. Zhukova, "Problems of Professional Education in Russia: Quality Monitoring of Educational Programs", *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 263-274.

³ E. I. Danilina; I. I. Malikova y D. V. Gorelov, "State and Municipal Programs to Support Staff of Insolvent Public Transport Companies", *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num 1 (2020): 176-189.

⁴ V. V. Ryabov; V. V. Kirillov; R. G. Rezakov y N. I. Muzafarova, "International Practice of Professional Integration of People with Disabilities: Educational Programs", *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 42-53.

⁵ J. Reese, *Oblachnye vyshisleniya* (St. Petersburg: BHV-Petersburg, 2011).

⁶ A. Weiss, "Computing in the Clouds", *Networker*, Vol: 11 num 4 (2007): 16-25.

⁷ I. Stojmenovic y S. Wen, *The Fog Computing Paradigm: Scenarios and Security Issues*. In *Proceedings of the Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems*. 2014. 1-8.

The analysis of the studies⁸ shows that a resource is a cloud service if it offers online data processing and performs certain actions: its use involves both viewing the content created by others and online communication, as well as the development and addition of one's own learning materials.

The use of such teaching technologies in the educational process is now an urgent issue in the theory and methodology of using information and communication technologies in education.

In general, an analysis of scientific research showed that a number of works highlighted the theoretical and practical foundations of the use of cloud computing and cloud services in the educational process⁹.

The basic concepts of database theory, the database normalization process, database design methodology, database architecture, modern DBMSs, the SQL query language and the issues of their study at universities are covered in the studies¹⁰.

However, researchers are not paying enough attention to the issue of introducing and using cloud computing in teaching the basics of databases.

The aim of the article is to carry out the selection of cloud-based learning tools for databases of students of higher education institutions by expert assessment.

The hypothesis of the study is as follows: the introduction of cloud-based tools into the educational process of teaching the basics of databases is necessary on the basis of compliance with the functional and didactic and organizational criteria.

According to the results of the study, it can be concluded that the goal set in the study was achieved.

⁸ R. Buyya; C. S. Yeo; S. Venugopal; J. Broberg y I. Brandic, "Cloud Computing and Emerging IT Platforms: Vision, Hype, and Reality for Delivering Computing as the 5th Utility", *Future Generation Computer Systems*, num 25 (2009): 599-616; L. M. Vaquero; L. Roderó-Merino; J. Cáceres y M. Lindner, "A Break in the Clouds: Towards a Cloud Definition", *SIGCOMM Computer Communications Review*, num 39 (2009): 50-55 y C. Gong; J. Liu; Q. Zhang; H. Chen y Z. Gong, *The Characteristics of Cloud Computing*. In (Parallel Processing Workshops (ICPPW), 2010 39th International Conference, 2010).

⁹ A. N. Dukhardt; D. S. Saenko y E. A. Sleptsova, "Oblachnye tekhnologii v obrazovanii", *Otkrytoe obrazovanie*, num 3 (2014): 68-74; K. Yadav, "Role of Cloud Computing in Education", *International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, Vol: 2 num 2 (2014): 3108-3112; C. Bulla; B. Hunshal y S. Mehta, "Adoption of Cloud Computing in Education System: A Survey", *IJESCI*, Vol: 6 num 6 (2016): 63-75 y K. Kurelović; S. Rako y J. Tomljanović, *Cloud Computing in Education and Student's Needs*. In (2013 36th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics (MIPRO), 2013).

¹⁰ J. Van den Bussche, "Constraint Databases: A Tutorial Introduction", *SIGMOD Record*, Vol: 29 num 3 (2000): 44-51; J. S. Vitter, "External Memory Algorithms and Data Structures: Dealing with Massive Data", *ACM Computing Surveys*, num 33 (2001): 209-271 y V. V. Osipova; I. L. Chudinov y A. S. Seidova, "Formalized Approach in Relational Database Design Key", *Engineering Materials*, Vol: 68 num 5 (2016): 930-933.

Methods

To carry out the selection of cloud-based tools (CBTs) for training students of higher education institutions in the basics of databases, the method of expert evaluation was applied.

According to this method, CBTs for teaching the basics of databases are numbered in ascending or descending order according to a certain criterion for their further ranking. The survey of experts was carried out in two stages.

The first stage of the survey of experts. Specialists were asked to evaluate 7 well-known CBTs that could be used to teach the database basics to students of higher education institutions. For the purpose of expert evaluation, specialists of this profile were involved – teachers who had experience and were directly related to teaching the database basics (12 people in total). The experts were the indicated categories of the faculty of universities.

As part of the study, they used a point-based grading system, according to which for the seven CBTs selected for assessment:

- the maximum score of 7 points would be given to the CBT that was most significant in the use;
- the minimum score of 1 point would be given to the CBT that was the least significant in the use.

To establish the existence of objective coordination among experts, the Kendall's concordance coefficient was determined by the formula:

$$W = S(d^2) / S_{\max}(d^2) = 12S(d^2) / m^2(n^3 - n),$$

where

n

$$S(d^2) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^2;$$

$$d_j = S_j - 0.5m(n + 1);$$

$$S_j = \sum_{i=1}^m R_{i,j}$$

S_j was the total rank of the j -th indicator (the main parameter for assessing the significance of the indicator); $j = 1, 2, 3 \dots n$; n was the number of indicators; m was the number of experts; $R_{i,j}$ was the rank of the j -th indicator, determined by the i -th expert.

After performing the necessary calculations using experimental data, one can obtain a certain value of the Kendall's concordance coefficient W . If the calculation results significantly differ from zero, it can be determined that there is an objective agreement

among the experts ($W = 0$ – there is no connection among the ranking of experts, $W = 1$ – the ranking completely coincides), total ranks are fairly objective.

In the second stage of the study, a group of specialists was involved to determine the most significant CBTs for teaching the students of higher education institutions according to certain criteria.

The manifestation of each of the presented criteria was evaluated for each of the indicated CBTs for teaching the basics of databases to students of higher education institutions according to the following scale: 0 points – no indicator; 1 point – the indicator was more absent than present; 2 points – the indicator was more present than not present; 3 points – the indicator was fully present.

An indicator is considered positive if the arithmetic mean value of all indicators of this criterion is not less than 1.5.

Moreover, the criterion is considered: insufficiently developed if more than 50% of its indicators are negative; critically manifested if 50-55% of its indicators are positive; sufficiently manifested if 56-75% of its indicators are positive; highly manifested if 76-100% of its indicators are positive.

Results

To compile a list of the proposed CBTs, an online survey of teachers directly related to the teaching of databases was carried out in order to establish which CBTs for teaching the basics of databases were used at various universities. As a result, a list of the most used CBTs was formed (Table 1).

No.	CBT name
1.	Amazon RDS
2.	Google Cloud SQL
3.	Heroku PostgreSQL
4.	Microsoft SQL Azure
5.	Oracle Database Cloud Service
6.	Rackspace Cloud Databases
7.	SQLite Viewer

Table 1
Most used CBTs

In the first stage, the experts were asked to take an online survey in order to rank the CBTs for learning the database basics (see Table 1), the results of which are presented in Table 2.

Expert No.	CBT						
	Amazon RDS	Google Cloud SQL	Heroku PostgreSQL	Microsoft SQL Azure	Oracle Database Cloud Service	Rackspace Cloud Databases	SQLite Viewer
1	4	5	3	6	2	1	7
2	5	4	2	7	1	3	6

3	3	6	4	5	2	1	7
4	1	5	4	7	2	3	6
5	4	5	3	6	1	2	7
6	3	6	4	7	1	2	5
7	4	6	1	5	2	3	7
8	4	5	2	7	3	1	6
9	5	6	1	4	2	3	7
10	2	5	3	7	4	1	6
11	4	6	1	5	3	2	7
12	4	5	2	6	3	1	7
S	43	64	30	72	26	23	78
d	-5	16	-18	24	-22	-25	30

Table 2
Ranking of CBTs for Database Basics Training

Having performed the calculations according to formulas (1) – (4) according to the data in Table 2, one can obtain: $S(d^2) = (-5)^2 + 16^2 + (-18)^2 + 24^2 + (-22)^2 + (-25)^2 + 30^2 = 3190$

$$W = 12 \cdot 3190 / 12^2 \cdot (7^3 - 7) = 0.79$$

Therefore, the Kendall's concordance coefficient $W = 0.79$ differed from zero, thus there was an objective agreement among the experts.

As a result, three CBTs were selected, namely: Google Cloud SQL, Microsoft SQL Azure, SQLite Viewer.

For the second stage of the survey of experts, the following criteria and indicators for the selection of environmental education for teaching the basics of the database were defined:

- functional and didactic: the ability to create, edit and delete tables in the database; definition of primary and foreign keys in the table; creating relationships between database tables; data modification in database tables; the ability to analyze results and errors in requests;

- organizational: availability (free version; the validity period of the free version); confirmation of the financial viability of the user; the convenience of the interface.

The functional and didactic criterion characterized the functional and didactic component of the CBTs, its basis was the assimilation of knowledge of the general structure of the SQL query language and the practical skills of using this language to work with database objects. The indicators of this criterion were as follows:

- the *ability to create, edit and delete tables in the database* determined whether the CBT had the ability to use SQL commands to create, edit and delete tables in the database;

- *definition of primary and foreign keys in the table* – availability in the CBT of the possibility of creating primary and foreign keys in the database tables;

- *creating relationships between database tables* – the ability in the CBT to configure the relationships between database tables;

- *modification of data in database tables* – availability in the CBT of the ability to add, edit and delete data in database tables;

- *the ability to analyze results and errors in requests* – the presence in the CBT of the ability to analyze the results of query execution and errors when writing requests.

The results of the intermediate data of the expert survey by the functional and didactic criterion are presented in Tables 3-5.

Expert number	The number of points by indicator				
	1	2	3	4	5
1	3	3	3	2	2
2	3	3	3	3	2
3	3	3	3	3	3
4	2	3	2	2	2
5	3	3	3	3	2
6	3	2	3	3	3
7	3	3	3	3	2
8	3	2	3	2	2
9	3	3	3	2	3
10	2	2	3	3	3
11	2	3	3	3	3
12	3	3	3	3	2
Average	2.75	2.75	2.92	2.67	2.42

Table 3
Google Cloud SQL CBT assessment results by the functional and didactic criterion

Expert number	The number of points by indicator				
	1	2	3	4	5
1	3	3	2	3	3
2	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	3	3	3
4	2	3	2	3	3
5	3	3	3	2	3
6	3	3	3	3	3
7	2	3	2	3	3
8	2	3	3	2	3
9	3	2	3	3	2
10	3	3	3	2	3
11	3	2	2	3	3
12	3	3	3	3	3
Average	2.75	2.83	2.67	2.75	2.92

Table 4
Microsoft SQL Azure CBT assessment results by the functional and didactic criterion

Expert number	The number of points by indicator				
	1	2	3	4	5
1	3	3	3	3	3
2	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	2	2	3	3
4	2	3	3	2	3
5	3	2	3	3	2
6	3	3	3	3	3
7	3	3	3	3	3
8	3	3	3	2	3
9	3	3	3	3	2
10	3	3	3	3	3
11	3	3	2	2	3
12	3	2	2	3	3
Average	2.92	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.83

Table 5

SQLite Viewer CBT assessment results by the functional and didactic criterion

Let us consider the results on the indicators of the functional and didactic criterion for each CBT for teaching the database basics (Table 6).

CBT for teaching the database basics	Indicators					Manifestation of the criterion
	1	2	3	4	5	
Google Cloud SQL	2.75	2.75	2.92	2.67	2.42	100%
Microsoft SQL Azure	2.75	2.83	2.67	2.75	2.92	100%
SQLite Viewer	2.92	2.75	2.75	2.75	2.83	100%

Table 6

Functional and didactic criterion and its indicators in the selection of CBT for teaching the database basics

The organizational criterion characterized the use of CBT for teaching the database basics from a technical point of view. Its indicators were as follows:

- *accessibility* – at the same time, the presence of a free version of the CBT for teaching the database basics and the validity period of the free version of the CBT were checked;

- *confirmation of the financial viability of the user* – characterized the absence in the CBT of personal data on the financial situation of the user for the subsequent collection of funds for the use of this tool;

- *ease of use* – characterized the convenience and understandability of the CBT interface for use in teaching the database basics.

The results of the intermediate calculations of the expert survey by the organizational criterion are presented in Tables 7-9.

Expert number	The number of points by indicator			
	1a	1b	2	3
1	3	0	0	1
2	3	1	1	2
3	2	2	0	0
4	3	0	0	1
5	3	2	0	2
6	3	2	0	1
7	3	0	0	2
8	2	2	1	2
9	3	1	0	1
10	3	2	0	3
11	3	1	1	1
12	3	2	0	1
Average	2.83	1.25	0.25	1.42

Table 7
Google Cloud SQL CBT assessment by the organizational criterion

Expert number	The number of points by indicator			
	1a	1b	2	3
1	3	1	0	2
2	3	0	0	2
3	3	2	1	2
4	3	1	0	1
5	3	2	1	1
6	3	1	0	1
7	3	0	0	2
8	2	1	0	2
9	3	2	0	1
10	3	1	0	2
11	3	1	0	3
12	3	0	0	2
Average	2.92	1.00	0.17	1.75

Table 8
Microsoft SQL Azure CBT assessment by the organizational criterion

Expert number	The number of points by indicator			
	1a	1b	2	3
1	3	3	3	2
2	3	3	3	1
3	2	3	3	3
4	3	3	3	2
5	3	3	3	3
6	3	3	3	2
7	3	3	3	1
8	3	3	3	2
9	3	3	3	2
10	3	3	3	3
11	3	3	3	3
12	3	3	3	2
Average	2.92	3.00	3.00	2.17

Table 9
SQLite Viewer CBT assessment by the organizational criterion

Let us reflect the results on the indicators of the organizational criterion for each CBT for teaching the database basics (Table 10).

CBT for teaching the database basics	Indicators				Manifestation of the criterion
	1a	1b	2	3	
Google Cloud SQL	2.83	1.25	0.25	1.42	25%
Microsoft SQL Azure	2.92	1.00	0.17	1.75	50%
SQLite Viewer	2.92	3.00	3.00	2.17	100%

Table 10

Organizational criterion and its indicators in the selection of CBT for teaching the database basics

Let us recall that an indicator is considered positive if the arithmetic average of the indicated indicator points is greater than 1.5. Below is the final table of the results of the manifestation of all the criteria for the selected CBT for teaching the database basics (Table 11).

CBT for teaching the database basics	CBT database criterion	
	Functional and didactic	Organizational
Google Cloud SQL	100%	25%
Microsoft SQL Azure	100%	50%
SQLite Viewer	100%	100%

Table 11

The results of the manifestation of all the criteria in the selected CBT

So, as a result of the expert assessment of the selected CBT for teaching the database basics to the students at higher education institutions, the best results for use were shown by SQLite Viewer.

Discussion

The introduction of CBT allows training subjects to obtain installed and configured software for working with databases. The main advantages of using the CBT for teaching the database basics at higher education institutions are as follows: high scalability (workload is constantly monitored), reduced software purchase costs (DBMS), speed of service delivery, reliability and security from the cloud computing provider.

Let us consider the possibilities of applying the considered CBTs to teaching the basics of database in the preparation of students of higher education institutions.

Google Cloud SQL is a CBT made by Google, created on the Google Cloud platform for working with MySQL DBMS. This tool makes it possible to create, configure and use relational databases with users' applications based on App Engine applications written in the Python programming language¹¹.

The main features of this CBT:

¹¹ N. Sabharwal y S. Gupta, Working with CloudSQL. In Hands on Google Cloud SQL and Cloud Spanner (Berkeley, CA: Apress, 2019).

- ease of use – the presence of a rich graphical user interface and the ability to create, form, manage and control databases;
- a fully managed service – no need to worry about replication, tuning, and other similar tasks when working with the database, all this is done by the service;
- high availability – the service remains available, even if the data center becomes unavailable;
- ensuring database data protection – data records in the Google server database are encrypted, therefore access is allowed only from authorized IP addresses and occurs through the SSL protocol¹².

To conduct a training course on the basics of databases, it is possible to create a database for each student, using which he/she can carry out the practical tasks of the teacher. The latter has information on the status of the work of all students and can carry out a check at any time. The possibilities of linking accounts to the Google Cloud SQL project can also contribute to more convenient and faster remote learning of DBMS technologies. This CBT has a limited validity period for the free version. Therefore, the higher education institution must acquire a license for full use.

Microsoft SQL Azure is a CBT made by Microsoft, hosted on the Microsoft Azure platform. SQL Azure is a projection of the traditional Microsoft SQL Server DBMS onto the cloud, which allows working with a database. This CBT makes it possible to store structured and unstructured data, execute relational queries, and also provides functionality for searching, creating analytical reports, integrating and synchronizing data. SQL Azure has a high level of security with built-in data protection, self-healing and backup system¹³.

Working with SQL Azure is built on the basis of three mechanisms – personal account, server and database. An account is the owner of one or more servers. A server is a logical concept similar to Master DB, which has one or more databases, contains metadata about the database and data on its use. Each database within the server stores standard SQL objects: users, tables, indexes, and more. For this CBT, the validity period of using the free version is limited. Therefore, the higher education institution must acquire a license to use it in full¹⁴.

SQLite Viewer is a CBT that is synchronized with Google services, which allows opening and managing SQLite databases. To use SQLite Viewer in teaching the database basics, the teacher and student need:

¹² Z. A. Bulinsh, “Migratsiya baz dannykh MySQL v oblachnuyu sredu Google Cloud SQL i vozmozhnosti ee primeneniya v sfere obrazovaniya”, *Obrazovatelnye tekhnologii i obshchestvo*, Vol: 15 num 3 (2012): 554-560.

¹³ L. G. Lobel, E.D. Boyd. Microsoft® Azure™ SQL Database Step by Step Redmond (Washington: Microsoft Press, 2014).

¹⁴ A. Sleit; N. Misk; F. Badwan y T. Khalil, “Cloud Computing Challenges with Emphasis on Amazon EC2 and Windows Azure”, *International Journal of Computer Networks & Communications (IJCNC)*, Vol: 5 num 5 (2013): 35-44.

1. Add the SQLite Viewer service to one's own Google account through any browser (Chrome, Firefox, etc.).
2. Log in to one's Google Account.
3. Go to the Google Drive service.
4. Click the "Create" button, select "SQLite Viewer".
5. After opening the SQLite Viewer web page, download the SQLite database file for viewing and working with it from Google Drive or from a computer.

In SQLite Viewer, one can open, view, and edit SQLite database files. This service has the ability to execute various commands for working with tables (for example, create, delete) and records (for example, add, edit, delete, select) of database tables that are created in the SQLite DBMS¹⁵.

Basic commands for users are as follows: creating database files; creation, definition of field types, filling, editing and deleting tables; creating, defining, and deleting indexes; viewing, editing, adding and deleting records; search for records; import and export records to a text file; import and export tables from/to CSV files; import and export of a database from/to SQL files; creating SQL queries and the like¹⁶.

SQLite is a free open source relational DBMS, a visual tool for creating, designing and editing database files. That is why teachers at higher education institutions have the opportunity to use the specified CBTs for free in educational activities.

Conclusions

According to the research goal, the method of expert evaluation was applied, which consisted of two stages: in the first stage, a survey of experts was carried out to select the main CBT for teaching the basics of the database; in the second stage, the CBT was defined with respect to the selected selection criteria, which was advisable to implement in the teaching of the database basics at a higher education institution.

The study identifies the criteria and relevant indicators for the selection of the means of teaching students the database basics. As a result of expert evaluation, it has been found that, from the point of view of the manifestation of all criteria, the most appropriate CBT for teaching the database basics to the students of higher education institutions is SQLite Viewer. Thus, the hypothesis of the study has been confirmed that the introduction of CBTs into the educational process of teaching the basics of databases is necessary on the basis of compliance with the functional and didactic and organizational criteria.

A promising area of further research is the development of methodology for using the CBT for teaching the database basics to students of higher education institutions.

¹⁵ M. Owens, *The Definitive Guide to SQLite* (Berkeley, CA: Apress, 2006).

¹⁶ S. Jeon, "A Recovery Method of Deleted Record for SQLite Database", *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, Vol: 16 num 6 (2012): 707-715.

References

- Bulinsh, Z. A. “Migratsiya baz dannyykh MySQL v oblachnuyu sredu Google Cloud SQL i vozmozhnosti ee primeneniya v sfere obrazovaniya”. *Obrazovatelnye tekhnologii i obshchestvo*, Vol: 15 num 3 (2012): 554-560.
- Bulla, C.; Hunshal, B. y Mehta, S. „Adoption of Cloud Computing in Education System: A Survey”. *IJES*, Vol. 6 num 6 (2016): 63-75.
- Buyya, R.; Yeo, C. S.; Venugopal, S.; Broberg, J. y Brandic, I. “Cloud Computing and Emerging IT Platforms: Vision, Hype, and Reality for Delivering Computing as the 5th Utility”. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, num 25 (2009): 599-616.
- Danilina, E. I.; Malikova, I. I. y Gorelov, D. V. “State and Municipal Programs to Support Staff of Insolvent Public Transport Companies”. *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num 1 (2020): 176-189.
- Doronkina, I. G.; Krasnovskiy, E. E.; Zakharova, E. V.; Ulianishchev, P. V. & Ulyanishcheva, L. V. “Academic Cloud Services: Innovative Solutions and International Practice”. *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems*, Vol: 11 num Especial (2019): 65-72.
- Dukhardt, A. N.; Saenko, D. S. y Sleptsova, E. A. *Oblachnye tekhnologii v obrazovanii. Otkrytoe obrazovanie*, 3 (2014): 68-74.
- Gong, C.; Liu, J.; Zhang, Q.; Chen, H. y Gong, Z. *The Characteristics of Cloud Computing. In Parallel Processing Workshops (ICPPW), 2010 39th International Conference*. 2010.
- Jeon, S. “A Recovery Method of Deleted Record for SQLite Database”. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, Vol: 16 num 6 (2012): 707-715.
- Kurelović, K.; Rako, S.; Tomljanović y J. *Cloud Computing in Education and Student's Needs. In 2013 36th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics (MIPRO)*. 2013. 856-861.
- Lobel, L. G., Boyd, E. D. *Microsoft® Azure™ SQL Database Step by Step* Redmond. Washington: Microsoft Press. 2014.
- Logachev, M. S. y Zhukova, G. S. “Problems of Professional Education in Russia: Quality Monitoring of Educational Programs”. *Revista Inclusiones*, Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 263-274.
- Osipova, V. V.; Chudinov, I. L. y Seidova, A. S. “Formalized Approach in Relational Database Design Key”. *Engineering Materials*, Vol: 68 num 5 (2016): 930-933.
- Owens, M. *The Definitive Guide to SQLite*. Berkeley, CA: Apress. 2006.
- Popova, A. M.; Mironov, V. V. y Romanchuk, N. I. “Visual Programming Environment Scratch in The Study of the School Subject "Fundamentals of Life Safety". *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*, Vol: 8 num 6 (2019): 3488-3491.

Reese, J. Oblachnye vyshisleniya. Trans. from English. St. Petersburg: BHV-Petersburg. 2011.

Ryabov, V. V.; Kirillov, V. V.; Rezakov, R. G. y Muzafarova, N. I. "International Practice of Professional Integration of People with Disabilities: Educational Programs". Revista Inclusiones, Vol: 7 num Especial (2020): 42-53.

Sabharwal, N. y Gupta, S. Working with CloudSQL. In Hands on Google Cloud SQL and Cloud Spanner. Berkeley, CA: Apress. 2019. 57-128.

Sleit, A.; Misk, N.; Badwan, F. y Khalil, T. "Cloud Computing Challenges with Emphasis on Amazon EC2 and Windows Azure". International Journal of Computer Networks & Communications (IJCNC), Vol: 5 num 5 (2013): 35-44.

Stojmenovic, I. y Wen, S. The Fog Computing Paradigm: Scenarios and Security Issues. In Proceedings of the Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems. 2014.

Van den Bussche, J. "Constraint Databases: A Tutorial Introduction". SIGMOD Record, Vol: 29 num 3 (2000): 44-51.

Vaquero, L. M.; Rodero-Merino, L.; Caceres, J. y Lindner, M. "A Break in the Clouds: Towards a Cloud Definition". SIGCOMM Computer Communications Review, num 39 (2009): 50-55.

Vitter, J. S. "External Memory Algorithms and Data Structures: Dealing with Massive Data". ACM Computing Surveys, num 33 (2001): 209-271.

Weiss, A. "Computing in the Clouds". Networker, Vol: 11 num 4 (2007): 16-25.

Yadav, K. "Role of Cloud Computing in Education". International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, Vol: 2 num 2 (2014): 3108-3112.

Yudina, T. N.; Kotovskaya, M. G.; Zolotukhina, M. V. y Tananova, D. K. "I Identify Myself as Russian Although I Have Never Been to Russia": Ways of Transferring Identity and Language to Children among the Russian-Speaking Population of the USA". Rupkatha Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities, Vol: 11 num 2 (2019).

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Las opiniones, análisis y conclusiones del autor son de su responsabilidad y no necesariamente reflejan el pensamiento de **Revista Inclusiones**.

La reproducción parcial y/o total de este artículo debe hacerse con permiso de **Revista Inclusiones**.

PH. D. (C) TATYANA ILINICHNA GOLUBEVA / PH. D. NATALIA V. LINDER / PH. D. (C) KOBILZHON KHODZHIEVICH ZOIDOV
PH. D. VLADISLAV VLADIMIROVICH PETROV / PH. D. (C) DENIS ALEKSEEVICH MAXIMOV
PH. D. UMALT UMAROVICH ABDULKADIROV